# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, JULY 2, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S087880 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

Robert Louis Martin, Defendant and Appellant.

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Baxter, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

S089226 Nicholas F. Coscia, Plaintiff and Appellant,

V.

McKenna & Cuneo et al., Defendants and Respondents. The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

George, C.J.

We Concur:

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

### S024833 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Wade Farley, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including August 29, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

### S089463 In re Dennis Harold Lawley

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including August 2, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

#### S091457 In re Richard Johnson

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including July 23, 2001.

### S093716 In re Geoffrey Fowler

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including July 3, 2001.

# 3rd Dist. Golden State Concrete Pumping et al.

C038601

V.

Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

### S097073 In re **Douglas Delange Graham** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Douglas Delange Graham**, **State Bar No. 46759**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on March 12, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti* v. *State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.

### S097087 In re **Iris Z. Spector** on Discipline

It is ordered that Iris Z. Spector, State Bar No. 107506, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended from the practice of law for nine months as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on January 30, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this

order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7. \*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S097088 In re **Gary A. Smith** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Gary A. Smith**, **State Bar No. 103246**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 45 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed February 15, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.

## S097113 In re **Kooros James Khavarian** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Kooros James Khavarian**, **State Bar No. 171550**, be suspended from the practice of law for 12 months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 24 months on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed March 14, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002 and 2003.